

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/753,514 01/04/2001		Yasuyuki Fujikawa	1506.1002 (JDH)	3098			
21171	21171 7590 02/24/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER		
STAAS & 1	HALSEY	/ LLP	SAIN, GA	SAIN, GAUTAM			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	YORK A	VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGT	ron, dc	20005	2176	2176			
				DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Gautam Sain   Capta   Capta			Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Gautam Sain   2176  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions for amy by be aliable under the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In collection, the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In collection of the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In collection of the provision of the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Paper Note of the provision of the drawing(s) be held in debyance. Sea 37 CFR 1.121			09/753,5	14	FUJIKAWA, YASUYUKI					
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estatesics of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be limited in the proof of the period for reply specified above is less than theiry (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinky (30) days will be considered limitly.  If the period for reply supported above, the maturum statutory period will apply and will septe St (6) MONTH'S from the malting date of this communication.  Fallules to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutory period will apply and will septe St (6) MONTH'S from the malting date of this communication, and the period of the communication to become ARAHONED (33 U.S.C. § 133), second patent from adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 21 September 2004.  2a) ② This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.15 lis/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10 ☐ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The other or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the priority documents have been received i		Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed control of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed in the provision of the provision of the major of the provision of			Gautam S	Sain	2176					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of the map be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for psycy periodic date is the sink with Micro of the six of the communication of the six of the communication of the six of			appears on the	e cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	dress				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 September 2004.  2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Application any not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHOR THE MA - Extension after SIX If the peri - If NO peri - Faiture to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Od for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareceived by the Office later than three months after the m	N. R 1.136(a). In no evolution in the state rich will apply and will attention the state rich will apply and will attention to the apply and will attent to the apply attention t	ent, however, may a reply be tinutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timel the mailing date of this common					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b ☐ This action is non-final.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6] ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to perticulation and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status									
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b ☐ This action is non-final.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6] ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to perticulation and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 2	1 September 2	<u>2004</u> .						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	2a)⊠ Th	is action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T	his action is n	on-final.						
4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All  b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Disposition of Claims									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application	Papers								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10) The	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a complicant may not request that any objection to placement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	accepted or b) the drawing(s) b rection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Attachment(s) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	_	Defenses Cited (DTO 200)		<b>∆</b> □ 1 <del>2</del> 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	(DTO 442)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:	2) Notice of 3) Information	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate	O-152)				

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 1-1) Regarding claims 1, 11, 12, 14 the phrase "possibly" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Please clarify terminology to concretely and definitely state the condition of a pattern of character string.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2-1) Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kuwahara</u> (US 6202072, filed Dec 1997), in view of <u>Nakatsuyama</u> et al (US 5752021, issued May 1998).

Regarding claim 1, Kuwahara teaches "a reading module ... an identifier thereof" (ie., SGML conversion form generation module SGML document read-in module)(col 5, lines 1-18, lines 59-65).

Kuwahara teaches "a retrieving module which refers to the extraction ... target electronic document" (ie., prototype file of a plain text document)(col 5, lines 27, fig 2, item 105).

Art Unit: 2176

Kuwahara teaches "a structure document generating module ... the definition information" (ie., generate a SGML document from a plain text document prepared by a user as part of the two directional conversion between plain text document and a SGML document having a specific form)(col 4, lines 8-13).

Kuwahara does not expressly teach, but Nakatsuyama teaches "a condition of a pattern of character string possibly contained in the plain text data as" (col 3, lines 20-25, ie., retrieval conditions on the basis of the retrieval formula for defining the structure of the document data, lines 13-15).

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kuwahara to include retrieval conditions on the basis of the retrieval formula for defining the structure of document data as taught by Nakatsuyama, providing the benefit of a document retrieving means to perform retrieval using semantic description and the schema relating to the first schema and directed to the first retrieval and converts the first formula to a second formula (Abstract section).

Regarding claim 2, Kuwahara teaches "adds tags as an identifier ... said retrieving module... in front and rear of each region ... retrieving means " (ie., the data "Tokkyo Taro" set in the position in between tags <Name> and <\Name> tags ... )(col 6, lines 64-67, lines 45-48).

Regarding claim 6, 7, Kuwahara teaches "extraction condition ... whole region to be extracted" in claim 6 and "extraction condition ... end part thereof" in claim 7 (ie., Plain text document ... "document for Application" and corresponding end tag

Art Unit: 2176

"document for application")(fig 3, item c)(ie., correlation therebetween as one unit)(col 5, lines 60-65).

Regarding claim 8, 9, Kuwahara teaches "description pattern ... to be extracted" (ie., in the plain text document "application form for registering e-mail address"; data displayed)(Fig 3, item a; col 6, lines 23-26).

**Regarding claim 10**, Kuwahara teaches "extraction condition ... syntax element of the region to be extracted" (ie., text document is analyzed by software for syntax and tags indicating a ... obtained syntax)(col 1, lines 31-40).

Regarding claim 11, Kuwahara teaches "reading ... text format", "reading ... identifier thereof" (ie., conversion form generation module, document read-in module)(col 5, lines 1-20, lines 59-63).

Kuwahara teaches "referring to ... reading step", "extracting ... electronic document", "combining the regions ... definition information" (ie., specific form .. concrete data ... name field, address field; data correlating)(col 6, lines 11-27; col 5, lines 20-30; fig 2; fig 7, item 5).

Kuwahara teaches "generating ... definition information" (col 8, lines 33-38; fig 8).

Kuwahara does not expressly teach, but Nakatsuyama teaches "a condition of a pattern of character string possibly contained in the plain text data as" (col 3, lines 20-25, ie., retrieval conditions on the basis of the retrieval formula for defining the structure of the document data, lines 13-15).

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kuwahara to include retrieval conditions on the basis of the retrieval

Art Unit: 2176

formula for defining the structure of document data as taught by Nakatsuyama, providing the benefit of a document retrieving means to perform retrieval using semantic description and the schema relating to the first schema and directed to the first retrieval and converts the first formula to a second formula (Abstract section).

Regarding claim 12, Kuwahara teaches reading plain text data; reading definition information that defines a correlation between elements as basic units configuring a document structure of a structured document, and that defines, for each of the elements [.] and an identifier thereof (ie., SGML conversion form generation module SGML document read-in module)(col 5, lines 1-18, lines 59-65).

Kuwahara teaches referring to the extraction condition per element that is defined by the reading definition information; extracting a region coincident with the per-element extraction condition referred to out of the processing target electronic document (ie., prototype file of a plain text document)(col 5, lines 27, fig 2, item 105).

Kuwahara teaches combining the regions extracted with respect to the respective elements in accordance with the correlation between the respective elements that is defined by the definition information (ie., generate a SGML document from a plain text document prepared by a user as part of the two directional conversion between plain text document and a SGML document having a specific form)(col 4, lines 8-13).

Kuwahara does not expressly teach, but Nakatsuyama teaches a condition of a pattern of character string possibly contained in the plain text data as (col 3, lines 20-25, ie., retrieval conditions on the basis of the retrieval formula for defining the structure of the document data, lines 13-15).

Art Unit: 2176

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kuwahara to include retrieval conditions on the basis of the retrieval formula for defining the structure of document data as taught by Nakatsuyama, providing the benefit of a document retrieving means to perform retrieval using semantic description and the schema relating to the first schema and directed to the first retrieval and converts the first formula to a second formula (Abstract section).

Regarding claim 13, Kuwahara teaches generating the structured document by adding to each region an identifier defined by the definition information (ie., generate a SGML document from a plain text document prepared by a user as part of the two directional conversion between plain text document and a SGML document having a specific form)(col 4, lines 8-13).

Regarding claim 14, Kuwahara teaches a reading module that reads definition information defining a correlation between elements as basic units configuring the document structure, and defining, for each of the elements, [..] and an identifier thereof; (ie., SGML conversion form generation module SGML document read-in module)(col 5, lines 1-18, lines 59-65).

Kuwahara teaches a retrieving module which refers to the extraction condition per element that is defined by the definition information read by said reading module, and that extracts a region coincident with the per-element extraction condition referred to out of the processing target electronic document (ie., prototype file of a plain text document)(col 5, lines 27, fig 2, item 105).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/753,514

Art Unit: 2176

Kuwahara does not expressly teach, but Nakatsuyama teaches a condition of a pattern of character string possibly contained in the plain text data as an extraction condition (col 3, lines 20-25, ie., retrieval conditions on the basis of the retrieval formula for defining the structure of the document data, lines 13-15).

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kuwahara to include retrieval conditions on the basis of the retrieval formula for defining the structure of document data as taught by Nakatsuyama, providing the benefit of a document retrieving means to perform retrieval using semantic description and the schema relating to the first schema and directed to the first retrieval and converts the first formula to a second formula (Abstract section).

Regarding claim 15, Kuwahara teaches a structured document generating module that combines the regions extracted with respect to the respective elements by said retrieving module in accordance with the correlation between the elements that is defined by the definition information (ie., generate a SGML document from a plain text document prepared by a user as part of the two directional conversion between plain text document and a SGML document having a specific form)(col 4, lines 8-13).

2-2) Claims 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <a href="Kuwahara">Kuwahara</a> (as cited above), in view of <a href="Nakatsuyama">Nakatsuyama</a> (as cited above), further in view of <a href="Fong">Fong</a> et al (US 2002/0085032 A1, effective filing date of Continuation Dec 1997).

**Regarding claim 3**, Kuwahara in view of Nakatsuyama does not expressly teach, but Fong teaches "correlation between the elements ... hierarchical structure ...

Art Unit: 2176

hierarchy ... lower-order hierarchy" (ie., hierarchical SGML document tree structure ... root note which has children nodes)(para 90-93).

Kuwahara in view of Nakatsuyama does not expressly teach, but Fong teaches "retrieving module extracts ... of the element in its higher-order hierarchy" (ie., transformation of a hierarchical document tree structure; Map module 184)(para 90, fig 3A shows hierarchy; para 93). Specifically, using Fong, users can map any object in a SGML tree to any other object in the HTML tree, which includes mapping parents to children.

Kuwahara teaches "generating module adds tags in front and rear of the region..." (col 6, lines 64-67).

Kuwahara in view of Nakatsuyama does not expressly teach, but Fong teaches "... all the elements in the lower-order hierarchy" (ie., add an HTML tag from the Legal HTML Tag list box)(para 140)(ie., a list of legal HTML tags that can be added and inserted)(para 117)(ie., Map module transforms from a hierarchical document tree to the another tree structure document, which allows users to map from document hierarchical elements in a tree to other hierarchical structures in any order: parent-child or child-parent)(para 117, Fig 12B, items 700-708).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kuwahara in view of Nakatsuyama to include a structural document hierarchical mapping tree system that allows Markup language tags as taught by Fong, providing the benefit of creating and editing a mapping of structured information to

Application/Control Number: 09/753,514 Page 9

Art Unit: 2176

different structured information, which allows a user to interactively define the map interactively (Fong, Abstract section, Title).

# Allowable Subject Matter

3-1) Claims 4 and 5 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/21/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. For claims 1, 2, 6-10, 11 Applicant argues that Kuwahara does not teach converting plain text data into a structured document and that an extraction condition as a pattern of a character string itself (Remarks, page 7, bottom). Examiner disagrees with the first portion. Kuwahara teaches converting plain text data into structured document. For example, Kuwhara teaches a two directional conversion between a plain text document and a SGML document having a specific form (which the examiner interprets as a structured document)(col 4, lines 9-14). For claim, applicant's arguments are not persuasive since Kuwahara teaches transformation of a hierarchical document tree structure (Fong, paragraph 90 and 93, fig 3A).

Arguments for claim 4 and 5 are deemed moot as these claims are objected to.

New claims 12-15 are rejected under similar lines of rejection as the amended claims 1-11.

#### **Conclusion**

Application/Control Number: 09/753,514 Page 10

Art Unit: 2176

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam Sain whose telephone number is 571-272-4096. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2176

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

65

GS

SANJIV SHAH